

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING D | | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/847,548 05/02/2001 | | 05/02/2001 | Haruo Tajima | 01250/LH | 6005 | | |
| 1933 | 7590 | 11/18/2003 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| FRISHAUI 767 THIRD | • | Z, GOODMAN & | SIEFKE, SAMUEL P | | | | |
| 25TH FLOC | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | |
| NEW YORK | ζ, NY 10 | 0017-2023 | 1743 | 1743 | | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 11/19/200 | 2 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | , | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | - | | | | |
| | | 09/847,548 | | TAJIMA ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| ٠ | · | Samuel P Siefke | | 1743 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cove | r sheet with the d | correspondence addi | 'ess | | | | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reper property of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory mi will apply and will expire e, cause the application t | ever, may a reply be tin nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication. | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 S | September 2003. | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This | action is non-fina | al. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4) | Claim(s) is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra | awn from conside | ration. | • | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | • | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election require | ement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | cepted or b)□ ob | jected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | e drawing(s) be held | ł in abeyance. Se | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the | e attached Office | Action or form PTC |)-152. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | n priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(a | a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| * ; 13) | 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest ince a specific reference was included in the first Terms of the foreign language process. The translation of the foreign language process acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest efference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language process. | ats have been recority documents hau (PCT Rule 17.2 t of the certified cotic priority under 3 rst sentence of the covisional applicantic priority under 3 tic priority under 3 tic priority under 3 | eived in Applicate ave been received (a)). Sopies not received 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) are specification of the been received 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 | ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional a r in an Application E ceived.) and/or 121 since a | application) Data Sheet. | | | | |
| Attachmer | nt(s) | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice 2) Notice | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) | | · γ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO- | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/847,548

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims **11-13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King et al. (USPN 5,633,724).

King discloses an evanescent scanning of biochemical array that comprises a waveguide (glass) plate (fig. 1 ref. 104, col. 5, lines 6-8, and lines 18-20) which entirely reflects incident light having sampling probes on the surface (fig. 1 ref. 102 and 112; col. 5, lines 7-34); a light source (fig. 1 ref. 106; col. 5, lines 35-52); a pickup member (ccd; fig. 1, ref. 120; col. 5, lines 53-60); an evanescent wave is produced, then hits the sample probes wherein the sample probe fluoresce (col. 5, lines 5-34, col. 6, lines 21-54, and line 66- col. 6, line10); the sample probes are polynucleotide, peptide or proteins (col. 4, line 58-col. 5, line 4) and (claims 1-19).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/10/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant agues "in King, light coming from the exterior of the prism is entirely reflected in an area responsive to the light flux. Therefore only samples of the same quantity as that of the samples fixed in a narrow area responsive to the light flux

Art Unit: 1743

can be analyzed." The claims in the instant application rely on just one sample being analyzed. The prior art being applied is applicable to this limitation because at least one sample is being analyzed on the surface of the waveguide. And also King is a waveguide that entirely reflects and guides incident light, as can be seen in column 8, lines 20-50 and col. 14, lines 13-59, figure 6.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel P Siefke whose telephone number is 703-306-0093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am-5:00pm.

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

SPS

November 14, 2003

7 Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700